

Agreement States licensees and registration certificate holders).

9. *The estimated number of hours needed annually to comply with the information collection requirement or request:* 109,510 (15,601 reporting + 1,122 recordkeeping + 92,787 third-party).

10. *Abstract:* 10 CFR part 32, establishes requirements for specific licenses for the introduction of byproduct material into products or materials and transfer of the products or materials to general licensees, or persons exempt from licensing, medical use product distributors to specific licensees, and those requesting a certificate of registration for a sealed source and/or device. It also prescribes requirements governing holders of the specific licenses. Some of the requirements are for information which must be submitted in an application for a certificate of registration for a sealed source and/or device, records which must be kept, reports which must be submitted, and information which must be forwarded to general licensees and persons exempt from licensing. As mentioned, 10 CFR part 32 also prescribes requirements for the issuance of certificates of registration (concerning radiation safety information about a product) to manufacturers or initial transferors of sealed sources and devices. Submission or retention of the information is mandatory for persons subject to the 10 CFR part 32 requirements. The information is used by the NRC to make licensing and other regulatory determinations concerning the use of radioactive byproduct material in products and devices.

### III. Specific Requests for Comments

The NRC is seeking comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?
2. Is the estimate of the burden of the information collection accurate?
3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?
4. How can the burden of the information collection on respondents be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

Dated: March 29, 2022.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

**David C. Cullison,**

*NRC Clearance Officer, Office of the Chief Information Officer.*

[FR Doc. 2022-06956 Filed 4-1-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7590-01-P**

## POSTAL REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket Nos. MC2022-49 and CP2022-54]

### New Postal Products

**AGENCY:** Postal Regulatory Commission.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Commission is noticing recent Postal Service filings for the Commission's consideration concerning a negotiated service agreement. This notice informs the public of the filings, invites public comment, and takes other administrative steps.

**DATES:** *Comments are due:* April 6, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** Submit comments electronically via the Commission's Filing Online system at <http://www.prc.gov>. Those who cannot submit comments electronically should contact the person identified in the **FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT** section by telephone for advice on filing alternatives.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** David A. Trissell, General Counsel, at 202-789-6820.

### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Table of Contents

- I. Introduction
- II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

#### I. Introduction

The Commission gives notice that the Postal Service filed request(s) for the Commission to consider matters related to negotiated service agreement(s). The request(s) may propose the addition or removal of a negotiated service agreement from the market dominant or the competitive product list, or the modification of an existing product currently appearing on the market dominant or the competitive product list.

Section II identifies the docket number(s) associated with each Postal Service request, the title of each Postal Service request, the request's acceptance date, and the authority cited by the Postal Service for each request. For each request, the Commission appoints an officer of the Commission to represent the interests of the general public in the proceeding, pursuant to 39 U.S.C. 505 (Public Representative). Section II also establishes comment deadline(s) pertaining to each request.

The public portions of the Postal Service's request(s) can be accessed via the Commission's website (<http://www.prc.gov>). Non-public portions of the Postal Service's request(s), if any, can be accessed through compliance

with the requirements of 39 CFR 3011.301.<sup>1</sup>

The Commission invites comments on whether the Postal Service's request(s) in the captioned docket(s) are consistent with the policies of title 39. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern market dominant product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3622, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3030, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. For request(s) that the Postal Service states concern competitive product(s), applicable statutory and regulatory requirements include 39 U.S.C. 3632, 39 U.S.C. 3633, 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR part 3035, and 39 CFR part 3040, subpart B. Comment deadline(s) for each request appear in section II.

### II. Docketed Proceeding(s)

1. *Docket No(s):* MC2022-49 and CP2022-54; *Filing Title:* USPS Request to Add Priority Mail Contract 738 to Competitive Product List and Notice of Filing Materials Under Seal; *Filing Acceptance Date:* March 29, 2022; *Filing Authority:* 39 U.S.C. 3642, 39 CFR 3040.130 through 3040.135, and 39 CFR 3035.105; *Public Representative:* Kenneth R. Moeller; *Comments Due:* April 6, 2022.

This Notice will be published in the **Federal Register**.

**Erica A. Barker,**  
*Secretary.*

[FR Doc. 2022-07039 Filed 4-1-22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 7710-FW-P**

## PRIVACY AND CIVIL LIBERTIES OVERSIGHT BOARD

[Notice-PCLOB-2022-01; Docket No. 2022-0009; Sequence No. 1]

### Notice of Public Forum

**AGENCY:** Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board (PCLOB).

**ACTION:** Request for public comments and notice of public forum on domestic terrorism.

**SUMMARY:** The PCLOB or Board seeks public comments regarding, and will hold a public forum to consider, privacy and civil liberties issues concerning the government's efforts to counter domestic terrorism. The PCLOB seeks public comments regarding the following topics (described in more detail below): Implications for First Amendment-Protected Activities;

<sup>1</sup> See Docket No. RM2018-3, Order Adopting Final Rules Relating to Non-Public Information, June 27, 2018, Attachment A at 19-22 (Order No. 4679).

Implications for Privacy and Fourth Amendment rights; Federal and State/Local/Tribal/Territorial (SLTT) Government Cooperation in Countering Domestic Terrorism; Use of Technology in Efforts to Combat Domestic Terrorism; Differential Impacts on Racial and Other Minority Groups; and any Other Privacy or Civil Liberties Implications Related to Domestic Terrorism. Additionally, the PCLOB will hold a virtual public forum to examine privacy and civil liberties issues regarding the government's efforts to counter domestic terrorism. During the forum, Board Members will hear a range of expert views.

**DATES:** The PCLOB plans to hold the public forum in late May or early June 2022. The exact date will be announced on [www.pclob.gov](http://www.pclob.gov) by no later than Monday, April 25, 2022. PCLOB will consider all public comments received by Thursday, June 30, 2022.

The comment period will remain open beyond the public forum date to enable individuals to submit comments that reflect the presentations and discussion during the forum. However, commenters who seek to inform the final agenda for the Board's forthcoming virtual public forum, are requested to please submit comments on or before Monday, April 25, 2022.

**ADDRESSES:** The public forum will be held virtually. Instructions for how to attend the virtual forum will be posted to [www.pclob.gov](http://www.pclob.gov). The Board invites written comments regarding privacy and civil liberties in the domestic terrorism context. You may submit comments responsive to notice PCLOB–2022–01 via <http://www.regulations.gov>. Please search by Notice PCLOB–2022–01 and follow the on-line instructions for submitting comments. Responsive comments received generally will be posted without change to [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov), including any personal and/or business confidential information provided. To confirm receipt of your comment(s), please check [regulations.gov](http://www.regulations.gov) approximately two-to-three business days after submission to verify posting.

Comments may be submitted any time prior to the closing of the docket at 11:59 p.m., EDT, on Thursday, June 30, 2022.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Mason Clutter, Acting Executive Director at 202–296–4649; [pao@pclob.gov](mailto:pao@pclob.gov).

#### **SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:**

##### **Procedures for Public Observation**

The event is open to the public. Pre-registration is required. Registration

instructions will be posted to [www.pclob.gov](http://www.pclob.gov). Individuals who plan to participate virtually and require special assistance should contact [pao@pclob.gov](mailto:pao@pclob.gov) at least 72 hours prior to the event. The Board welcomes comments on privacy and civil liberties issues related to any of the following topics, including potential recommendations for policy reforms:

##### **Government Actions Against Domestic Terrorism: Authorities, Procedures, Safeguards, and Impacts on First Amendment-Protected Activities**

Responsive comments should examine the authorities, procedures, and safeguards governing federal government action countering domestic terrorism when such action could affect protected First Amendment activity. Responsive comments may also address the effects of such government action on First Amendment activity, and what further safeguards, mitigations, or oversight may be needed. Examples include social media and internet surveillance, as well as surveillance of those exercising their rights of free expression and assembly.

##### **Government Surveillance To Combat Domestic Terrorism: Authorities, Procedures, Safeguards, and Impacts on Privacy and Fourth Amendment Rights**

Responsive comments should address the use of surveillance to combat domestic terrorism, including the authorities, procedures and safeguards that currently govern such surveillance, and the applicability of the Fourth Amendment and other legal protections for privacy. Responsive comments may also address what further safeguards, mitigations, or oversight may be needed to protect privacy.

##### **Federal and State/Local/Tribal/Territorial (SLTT) Government Cooperation in Countering Domestic Terrorism**

Responsive comments should examine the intersection of domestic terrorism activities and privacy and civil liberties implications at the Federal and the SLTT level, both directly (e.g., JTTFs, Fusion Centers) and indirectly (e.g., federal funds used to purchase SLTT surveillance capabilities; SLTT use of federal resources, etc.), including the following questions:

- What are the potential privacy and civil liberties issues raised by this cooperation?
- What safeguards, mitigations, or oversight may be needed to protect against potentially harmful effects?

##### **Use of Technology in Efforts To Combat Domestic Terrorism**

Responsive comments should examine the use of technology in investigating and countering domestic terrorism, including the following questions:

- How is the government using technology to counter domestic terrorism?
- Are the technologies and policies used to counter international terrorism being applied to domestic terrorism, either by the government or by private firms; and if so, what are the potential privacy and civil liberties implications for the American public?
- In what ways do private technology firms work with the government to counter domestic terrorism and does this raise further privacy and civil liberties issues?
- What challenges and opportunities, for countering domestic terrorism and for protecting privacy and civil liberties in counterterrorism programs, are created by current and likely future technology changes?
- What safeguards, mitigations, or oversight may be needed to protect against potentially harmful effects?

##### **Differential Impacts on Racial and Other Minority Groups**

Per Executive Order 13985 and PCLOB's efforts to enhance equity, the PCLOB seeks comments on potential differential impacts of countering domestic terrorism programs and policies on particular racial groups, historically underserved communities, religious groups, politically disfavored groups, and other individuals.

Responsive comments should examine:

- To what extent do government efforts to combat domestic terrorism have differential impacts on particular racial groups, historically underserved communities, religious groups, politically disfavored groups, and other individuals?
- What safeguards, mitigations, or oversight may be needed to protect against potentially harmful effects?

##### **Any Other Privacy or Civil Liberties Implications Related to Domestic Terrorism**

The Board welcomes comments on any other privacy or civil liberties concerns related to domestic terrorism not listed above.

**David Coscia,**

*Agency Liaison Officer, Office of Presidential & Congressional Agency Liaison Services, General Services Administration.*

[FR Doc. 2022–07011 Filed 4–1–22; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6820–B5–P**